

ACCESSIBILITY AND UTILIZATION OF HEALTHCARE SERVICES AT UPGRADED PRIMARY HEALTH CENTRES, NAMAKKAL DISTRICT, TAMILNADU STATE

A.Karthigaiselvam

Department of Economics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Salem – 7, TamilNadu State

Dr.S.Ramasamy

Ph.D Research Scholar Assistant Professor & Research Supervisor Department of Economics Government Arts College (Autonomous) – Salem-7

ABSTRACT

To assess the accessibility and utilization pattern, a field study conducted in villages coming under three upgraded PHCs in Namakkal District, TamilNadu State covered 281 patients. It was observed that most of the cases were treated in maternity and child healthcare wards. Another group of out-patients were treated for both communicable and non-communicable diseases. As a result, the physical accessibility, people from 35 Km radius are using the services and reach the PHCs through government buses. In the case of providing healthcare facilities at the Namagiripet Upgraded PHC are relatively better with special care units like Dental, Radiographer, Blood Bank, Operation Theatre and a DGO specialist doctor. However, these kinds of facilities were missing in Pillanallur and O.Sowdapuram PHCs. The selected patients highly demanded that the healthcare infrastructure has not been adequate and quality of healthcare services has to be improved.

Key words: Hygienic, accessibility, infrastructure, utilization and human capital

INTRODUCTION

Government of India has provided well-organized public healthcare services to both rural and urban masses. The level of availability and accessibility are widely correlated with utilization of facilities offered by upgraded Primary Health Centre. Offering healthcare services are of prime domain which can promote better quality of life of the people. In TamilNadu State, we have a better performing State for providing healthcare services according to Health Access Index 2016-17 prepared by NITI Aayog and jointly coordinated by World Bank and ranked at 7th in the State. Health now-a-days is one among the basic needs which could determine better human capital. TamilNadu State has offered effective public healthcare services to improve upon good health status among the rural as well as urban poor.

Health is fundamental to national progress in any sphere. In-terms of resources for economic development, nothing can be considered of higher importance than the health of the people which is a measure of their energy and capacity as well as of the potential of man hours for productive work in relation to the total number of persons maintained by the nation. For the efficiency of the industry and of agriculture, the health of the worker is an essential consideration.

Moreover the health is a positive state of well being in which the harmonious development of physical and mental capacities at the individual lead to the enjoyment of a rich and full life. It is not a negative state of mere absence of disease. On the other hand the health implies that complete adjustment of the individual to his total environment, physical and social. Health possesses primarily the application of medical science for the benefit of the individual and of society. Meanwhile, many other factors, social, economic and educational have an intimate

bearing on the health of the community. Health is a vital role of a concurrent and integrated programme of development of all aspects of community life.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. According to **Sonmithra Ghosh** (2015), who analysed the utilization of in-patient care in India, people from richer households were more likely to seek hospital admission and use in-patient care more frequently than their poor counterparts, that is rich people have greater access to healthcare. The policy measure that the government could take to improve the access of quality healthcare services for the poor is to strengthening the public health system through enhanced investment in the National Health Mission. Nearly 1.4% of the population had at least one overnight stay in hospital in 2004 which is almost one percentage point higher than in 1995-96 (1.6%). The average number of hospitalization by an individual was 0.03 in 2004. Hence, the two key factors that determine economic inequities in access to healthcare services are financing and the delivery system.

It is concluded that socio economic as well as demographic factors such as income, education, age, sex and household size are significant determinants of hospital admission and the intensity of hospitalization. Most of the People from urban areas had a significantly lower probability of hospital admission than their rural counterparts. A greater healthcare among the rural population and limited access to better quality of healthcare services than for their urban counterparts. The author examined and covered cross sectional data from the NSSO 60th Round of 2004 survey on "Morbidity and healthcare".

2. **Rama Baru et.al** (2010) assessed that utilization of preventive service such as childhood immunization and ANC are effective indicators for assessing the availability, accessibility and quality at the primary level of health services provisioning. The overall indicators for full immunization are poor in India with variation across rural and urban areas: State and social economic groups. While the all India immunization coverage is low (44%) there is considerable variation across socio economic group. In 2004, a mere 21% of people in rural area and 19% of urban areas utilized the public sector for out-patient services. The NSSO 2005 reported that in-patient treatment were 42% and 38% in rural and urban areas respectively. The evidence for recent years shows a high (80%) dependence on private sector for out-patient care, which is largely due to the weakness in the delivery of public health services. The authors summarized that five key health service factors that affect equity in access to health services. These includes, inadequate investment in public sector, unregulated commercialization and rising cost, varying quality of care in public and private sectors, health sector reforms and lack of accountability in the public and private sectors. The authors explained that four key areas require urgent attention and action, they are: flagship programmes should focus only for economically down-trodden, comprehensive regulation on medical and healthcare, new and innovative system of monitoring performance and evaluating progress towards equitable health outcome need to be introduced and health security.

3. **Anil Gupta** (2016) described that universal access to healthcare (UAH) seems to have become the current slogan for health services development, both internationally and within India. The universal access to health could be implemented for the well being of the society. This would be successful one who achieves the four approaches they were: i) role of commercial sector in financing and provisioning, ii) public financing and private provisioning, iii) for enhanced public spending with a central role for the State provisioning and iv) a central role on public financing and provisioning with a regulatory framework on the context of comprehensive services. The universal access to healthcare must be reinforced and good approach will give better health system in near future.

4. **Benny George** (2011) analysed the National Rural Health Mission (NHRM), the Rural Water Supply (RWS), and the Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC), the flagship public health programmes of the government of India which are seeking to serve around 2/3rd of the total population residing in rural areas. Fund utilization of NRHM (to provide accessible, affordable, accountable effective and reliable healthcare facilities in the rural areas) should reach the poor and vulnerable sections of the society. A close look at the utilization of funds earmarked for the implementation of above mentioned three public health programmes of the government of India shows that more than the paucity of resources what bedevils the system is its inability to translate the funds into public health facilities and services. If we fail to take meaningful action to tackle the systematic deficiencies, we would be frittering away the demographic dividend we are endowed with.

5. **Varadarajan** (1999) opined that healthcare infrastructure was considered to be good. Its performance was not encouraging and the reason were partly finance and poorly organization. Due to this, inefficiency of the staff and lack of man power was a major problem to improve the efficiency of healthcare unit. Therefore, we need to address the monitoring mechanism and provide sound finance to the needy people.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Generally, India is one among the fast moving economies of the world with regard to maintaining, managing and monitoring better healthcare services to the needy people. Due to over population, lack of awareness among the people on health and hygienic, unaware of immunization and preventive care measures, there has been morbidity status increased steadily. The level of population and quality of life highly correlated with well furnished public healthcare system. Equal access for equal needs has to be streamlined and government has to be taken care of effective implementation of public healthcare and medical services provided to the vulnerable group. There is an urgent need for micro level analysis about accessibility and utilization of people availing healthcare facilities from Upgraded PHCs.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To know about the extent of accessibility and utilization of healthcare facilities by the selected patients.
2. To assess the nature of illness of selected patients and quality of treatment obtained from the selected PHCs.

METHODOLOGY

In this study, a random selection of three upgraded Primary Health Centres from Namakkal District of TamilNadu State namely Pillanallur PHC, Namagiripet PHC and Olapatti Sowdapuram PHC was made at first. After a pilot study, a list of patients from each PHC visited during four working days in the months of June, July and August 2019 was taken in to account and average number of patients visited was calculated. From that 50 per cent of them were selected randomly and it came to 281 (Table 1). Apart from these, 3 Block Medical Officers, 6 duty Doctors, 3 Staff Nurses, 3 Pharmacists and 3 Siddha doctors, 1 Dentist and 3 Ophthalmology Assistants were contacted and interviewed.

Table 1 PHC- wise Selection of Patients

S. No	Name of the PHC	One Time Cases	Time Sample	Follow-up cases	Sample	Total	Sample
1	Namagiripet	132	66	58	29	190	95
2	Pillanallur	117	59	46	23	163	82
3	Olapatti Sowdapuram	143	71	65	33	208	104
Total		392	196	169	85	561	281

Source: Primary Data

Physical Access

Physical access deals with mode of transport and to measure the distance between one patient and who can reach the PHC. On the basis of aggregate sample of 281, of which 87.5% of the people came from O.Sowdapuram PHC between 1 and 5 kms distance, followed by Namagiripet and Pillanallur were registered 50% and 75% respectively. Besides 3% of the patients who came to PHC from the distance between 20 and 30 kms. In the meantime, one important observation was made as 26% of the patients came to PHC between 6 kms to 20 kms.

Table: 2. Status of Physical Access to Selected PHCs

S.N o	Name of the PHC	1-5 K.M	6-10 K.M	11-15 K.M	16-20 K.M	21-25 K.M.	26-30 K.M	Above 30 K.M.	Total No. of out-patient
1	Pillanallur	61	14	2	1	-	2	2	82
2	Namagiripet	48	21	13	8	-	5	-	95
3	O.Sowdapuram	91	9	4	-	-	-	-	104
Total		200	44	19	9	-	7	2	281

Source: Primary Data

FINANCIAL ACCESS

Public healthcare institutions are mainly providing medical and healthcare services at free of cost to the people. Similarly, people coming from remote area to reach PHC (about 5 kms to 12 kms), they need to spent transport cost either by government buses or by two wheeler or by foot. The overall observation is that one time one who visit PHC for taking medical treatment, they need to incur transport cost of Rs.14 per head (To and fro charges up to 1 to 7 kms) and also to refresh tea and snacks, they have to pay Rs. 10/- and totally to incur Rs. 24/- one time case. Nearly 60% of the patients who come to PHC, those persons have come under non-farmer and also lost their wage on visiting day. Financing pattern is also a major part of the patient who is

affected by illness.

Similarly the patients who are coming to PHCs accompanied by family members, then they have to spend for them also. Apart from that, in case of earning attendants they have to forego their wages.

Transport Cost

About 77 patients reached the PHCs by foot, 41 travelled through buses and the remaining 163 of them came by cycles and mopeds. On an average, they spent Rs. 47 per visit to hospital by using buses. In the case of those used two wheelers the average cost on petrol came to Rs.82 per visit.

ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE FACILITIES AND UTILIZATION

Government of India has framed well known health reform and scheme on National Rural Health Mission (2005) with a view to help accessing and utilizing better healthcare by the needy people. An upgraded PHC has facilities like blood test, blood sugar checkup, HIV Test, Urinary Test, Sputum Test, Malaria Test, X-Ray, Ca Cervix Test, Ca Breast Test, Ultrasonic Scan Test for pregnant women and new born baby care health etc at free of cost. Besides, special care units like Siddha, Dental care and Eye-checkup have also been serving the people. At the same time, dog bite, snake bite and poison cases, fire and road accident cases, caesarean and family planning form an important component have also been treated and few cases are given referral also.

LEVEL OF ACCESSIBILITY AND UTILIZATION OF FACILITIES AT PHC

In order to examine the level of access and the perception of selected patients on their utilization of healthcare services offered by the PHCs, their opinions were sought on a three point scale Very Good, Good and Fair. The nature of diseases was grouped into five so as to get an idea about the services. They were Maternity and Child healthcare, Clinical Tests, Fever, Chronic Diseases and In-Patient Care.

Table 3 Level of Access and Status of Utilization

Sl.No	Nature of Disease/ Services	No of Patients	Access			Utilization		
			Very good	Good	Fair	Very good	Good	Fair
1	Maternity and Child healthcare	84	12	65	7	45	25	14
2	Clinical Tests	113	41	67	5	75	24	14
3	Fever	51	10	30	11	28	12	11
4	Chronic Diseases	29	11	14	4	15	7	7
5	In-Patient Care	04	1	1	2	1	1	2

From the table indicates that the level of accessibility and utilization are inversely related. The nature of illness have been categorized under five out of which maximum number of patients expressed their level of accessing in healthcare service was good at the same time the status of utilization, the majority of the patients opined that very good. It is significant to note that in-patient care revealed that accessibility as well as utilization was positively correlated and they stated that fair level. Maternity and child healthcare services concern most of the patients expressed as good access but utilization of them were very good. In the case of clinical test, fever

and chronic diseases patients exhibited that their accessibility was good but the utilization mechanism were very good.

QUALITY OF HEALTHCARE SERVICES

There has been many studies pertaining to assessment of quality of healthcare services expressed that the public healthcare system need to improve more on quality enhancement and practices. This would also be applicable to our selected Upgraded PHC also. On the basis of observation made about quality, most of the out-patient obviously expressed their opinion positively. However, they have suggested that Namagiripet PHC has an exemplary clinic or health centre for all people because they have adequate blood bank capacity, operation theatre, radiologist, dentists and DGO specialist.

These units were entirely missing in rest of the Pillanallur and O.Sowdapuram PHC. Hence, the patients have expected that to offer such special facilities means everyone can get utilized. There has been regional disparity on providing medical and healthcare services in the study area. Therefore, the public healthcare system should pay more attention on providing quality of healthcare mechanism and to uplift the rural poor healthy way with vigorously. On the contrary, periodical immunization camp, mobile health unit, leprosy treatment, and HIV AIDS affected persons are given counseling also in Upgraded PHC. Out of three selected PHCs, Namagiripet is a well-established one having well equipped blood bank facility, radiographer facility, operation theatre and one full time DGO qualified Lady. But these facilities were missing in Pillanallur and O.Sowdapuram PHC.

Table: 4 Special cases treated and cared in the selected PHCs

S.No	Name of the case	Treatment available	First Aid and Referral	Only First aid	Only Referral
1	Dog bite	3 PHCs	-	-	-
2	Snake bite	O.Sowdapuram	Namagiripet	Pillanallur	-
3	Poison cases	-	-	O.Sowdapuram & Pillanallur	Namagiripet
4	Accident	-	Pillanallur	O.Sowdapuram & Pillanallur	-
5	Emergency	-	Pillanallur	O.Sowdapuram & Pillanallur	-
6	Caesarean	Namagiripet	-	-	O.Sowdapuram & Pillanallur
7	Family Planning	Pillanallur & Namagiripet	-	-	O.Sowdapuram

Source: Primary Data

Healthcare facilities have also been extended to special cases like dog bite, snake bite Primary healthcare should provide basic requirements of road accident and fire accident cases also but these facilities are absent. Theoretically, government Primary Health Centres offer excellent facilities for the benefit of the poor people who can access them easily and take free medical treatment.

In the selected PHCs a greater amount of utilization and accessibility were reported by the selected patients. It was found as much better in the cases like maternal health checkup. Overall the patients' opinion about accessing and utilizing healthcare services in the selected upgraded PHCs was found as very good.

Treatment of outpatients

Patients pointed out that taking medical treatment from private hospital is highly expensive for both major and minor ailments. The various types of cases coming to these 3 UPHCs are really remarkable. Pre-natal care cases occupied the most followed by Blood Pressure checkup and other categories.

Table: 5 Status of illness – Outpatients

S.No	Type of ailment	Pillanallur	Namagiripet	O.Sowdapuram
1	Blood Pressure Follow up only	14	-	8
2	Blood Pressure with Sugar	8	2	14
3	Sugar check-up and follow up only	2	1	84
4	Cold and Cough	3	2	-
5	Fever with cold, cough	8	33	4
6	Head-ache	1	1	-
7	Stomach-ache	1	3	8
8	Pregnancy check-up (Pre Natal care)	8	34	42
9	Asthma/ TB	4	4	2
10	Leg Pain/ body pain/ hip pain/ tooth	8	3	10
11	Injury (Minor) / Fracture	8	-	-
12	Skin allergy	1	2	-
13	Kidney Problem	1	-	-
14	Swelling	2	-	-
15	Fever- Dengue/ Typhoid	1	2	3
16	Pimples/ Allergy	1	-	1
17	Dog bite	2	-	2
18	Ulcer	1	2	2
19	Stroke	1	-	-
20	Sputum and cough	1	-	2
21	Small Pox	2	-	-
22	Chicken pox	1	-	-
23	Family Planning Operation	1	2	-
24	Loose bowels	1	1	2
25	Centipede bite/ Scorpion bite	1	3	-
26	Epilepsy		-	1
27	Eye sight		-	1
Total		82	95	104

Source: Primary Data

From the above table one inference strikes is the fact that patients periodically taking medical treatment in the selected UPHCs in a better manner. For pre-natal care cases mostly they visited O.Sowdapuram and Namagiripet PHCs. Asthma patients found taking quality medicines periodically from three UPHCs and only one case was treated for Epilepsy in O.Sowdapuram UPHC.

CONCLUSION

Healthcare delivery system on accessibility and utilization of healthcare facilities at select Upgraded Primary Health Centres in Namakkal District, TamilNadu was found as good. However,

25% of the patients registered their level of satisfaction as low. On the basis of the patients needs, the PHC has to revamp their facilities and services. The main problem of man power and laboratory facilities has to be redesigned and implement to provide quality of healthcare.

SUGGESTION

From the study, most of the patients suggested that separate ward should be allotted for old age group people, to establish new unit of ENT, Skin specialists' doctors and also to maintain good sanitation in all Upgraded PHCs to save the patient health right way. Mobile Health Unit has to be monitored in the remote village so as to ensure that better healthcare for them.

REFERENCE

- [1] .Varadarajan (1999), Improving the efficiency of public healthcare units in TamilNadu, India – Organizational and Financial choices, Research Paper No – 165, Dec -1999, Harvard School of Public Health, USA,
- [2] Name of the book: Economic Planning in India by M.M.Sury and Mathur (1951-52 to 2006-07), New Century Publications, New Delhi and (2006) pp – 76.
- [3] U.Dash , V.R.Muraleedharan, B.M. Prasad, D.Acharya, S.Dash and S.Lakshmi Narasimhan. (2008), Access to Health Services in Under- privileged areas – A Case Study of Mobile Health Units in TamilNadu and Orissa. Consortium for Research on Equitable Health System (CREHS), Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, IIT – Madras, TamilNadu, India
- [4] Rama Baru, Arnab Acharya, Sanghmitra Acharya, Akshiva Kumar and K.Nagara,(2010), Inequities in Access to Health Services in India: Caste, Class and Religion, Economic and Political Weekly, Sep 18, 45, (38), pp – 49-57.
- [5] Benny George (2011), India's Public Health: A Financial Aetiology, Economic and Political Weekly, Feb 26, 46, (09), pp – 20-22.
- [6] Sonmithra Ghosh (2015), Socio Economic Pattern in In-patient Care Utilization in India: Is the Income Effect Withering? (Margin – The Journal of Applied Economic Research, 9:1, February, 9, (1), pp – 39-60, Sage Publications, Los Angeles / London, New Delhi / Singapore / Washington DC.
- [7] Anil Gupta (2016), Universal Access to Healthcare - Threat and Opportunities, Economic and Political Weekly, June 25 to July 8, 46, (5), pp - 26-27.